UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/921,233	08/01/2001	Christian Knopfle	60,500-072	6017	
	7590 07/10/200 IOWARD ATTORNE		EXAMINER		
450 West Fourth Street			PHILOGENE, PEDRO		
Royal Oak, MI 48067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3733		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/10/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/921,233	KNOPFLE ET AL.				
interview dummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Pedro Philogene	3733				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Pedro Philogene</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Trent English</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>08 July 2009</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	t)∏ applicant's representative	e]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 44-47</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: (5,916,217), (5,549,620).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's argument did not overcome the rejection in the last office action. However, applicant is willing to amend the claims to positively claim the implant delivery device and to structurally claim the driving-in-mechanism to overcome the prior art. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Pedro Philogene/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3733						